Removal Mechanism and Defect Characterization for Glass-Side Laser Scribing of CdTe/CdS Multilayer in Solar Cells

Hongliang Wang, Y. Lawrence Yao

Department of Mechanical Engineering Columbia University New York, NY, USA

Hongqiang Chen

Laser & Metrology System Lab GE Global Research Niskayuna, NY, USA

ABSTRACT

Laser scribing is an important manufacturing process used to reduce photocurrent and resistance losses and increase solar cell efficiency through the formation of serial interconnections in large-area solar cells. High-quality scribing is crucial since the main impediment to large-scale adoption of solar power is its high production cost (price-per-watt) compared to competing energy sources such as wind and fossil fuels. In recent years, the use of glass-side laser scribing processes has led to increased scribe quality and solar cell efficiencies, however, defects introduced during the process such as thermal effect, micro cracks, film delamination, and removal uncleanliness keep the modules from reaching their theoretical efficiencies. Moreover, limited numerical work has been performed in predicting thin film laser removal processes. In this study, a nanosecond (ns) laser with a wavelength at 532nm is employed for pattern 2 (P2) scribing on CdTe (Cadmium telluride) based thin-film solar cells. The film removal mechanism and defects caused by laser-induced micro-explosion process are studied. The relationship between those defects, removal geometry, laser fluences and scribing speeds are also investigated. Thermal and mechanical numerical models are developed to analyze the laser-induced spatio-temporal temperature and pressure responsible for film removal. The simulation can well-predict the film removal geometries, generation of micro cracks, film delamination and remaining materials. The characterization of removal qualities will enable the process optimization and design required to enhance solar module efficiency.

KEYWORDS

Glass-side laser scribing, micro-explosion, multilayer thin films, CdTe, solar cell

INTRODUCTION

Thin-film solar cell technology promises to achieve a significant cost reduction in materials, by adopting large area deposition capability, and the use of cheap and flexible substrates. Typical thin film solar cells used in terrestrial PV (photovoltaic) applications consist of back contact, absorber and front contact films. CdTe is the dominant absorber material in recent years because of its attractive price and stable performance at high temperatures [1-2]. The efficiency of thin-film solar panels, however, is hampered by resistive losses in the module proportional to the square of the photocurrent. In practice, photocurrent is decreased by scribing the solar module into a large number (between 100 and 200) mini-modules and connecting them in series to create high-voltage, low-current devices [3]. Since each layer in the solar module must be scribed after

deposition, scribing is performed in 3 steps – Patterns 1, 2 and 3 (P1, P2 and P3) processes, which are also used in the commercial production of a-Si:H (hydrogenated amorphous silicon) and CI(G)S (copper indium gallium selenide) based thin film solar cell fabrications [4-6]. Compared to mechanical scribing, key advantage of laser scribing is able to enable much smaller line width (50µm Vs. 500µm), so the "dead zone" can be much smaller with higher efficiency. Also, it is currently only industrial standard process for high speed mass production (scribing speed around 1 m/s Vs 0.05~0.1 m/s). However, laser scribing has been shown to leave a heat-affected zone around the scribe, which causes undesirably poor isolation between cells and low shunt resistance. Laser scribing has also been shown to leave high protruded ridges along the edge of the scribe line, contributing to electrical shorts [4]. While scribing reduces resistive losses by decreasing photocurrent, it also

forms dead zones between P1 and P3 slots, which contribute to reductions in module efficiency [7].

In order to decrease the thermal effect of laser irradiation during processing, the use of ultrashort pulsed lasers, such as picosecond and femtosecond lasers, are being investigation for scribing processes [8-9]. These lasers are complex and expensive, and regardless of pulse duration, material melting cannot be totally eliminated [5]. Glass side laser processing [10-11] has been shown to be more efficient than film side processing with reduced thermal effect. Film side laser scribing is governed by heating, melting and vaporizing of selective films, while glass side laser scribing is a thermal-mechanical process which involves stress induced material failure and removal rather than vaporization. The mechanical fracture and removal of film material during glass side scribing is commonly referred to as lift off or micro-explosion processing [7]. During micro-explosion processing, the laser irradiates through the transparent substrate and is fully absorbed in a very thin layer of film at the interface. High pressure plasma is generated and expanded in the film. The plasma punches through the solid film above and the material is removed mechanically [12]. Micro-explosion processing is pronounced when the laser material penetration depth is much shallower than the film thickness, such as P2/P3 processes of CdTe and a-Si:H solar cells. Otherwise, films are removed through thermal ablation, such as P1 process for front contact films made by transparent conducting oxide (TCO) materials [13].

While glass-side laser scribing has led to improved scribe quality over competing methods, defects such as thermal effect, film delamination, scribe uncleanliness and micro cracks that lead to decreased module efficiency are still introduced [14-15]. Although extensive work is carried out for optimizing the scribing qualities [4-13], the physical phenomena responsible for film removal during laser scribing and their effect on scribe quality are not well known. In addition, development of simulation capabilities will enable the fundamental understanding of the physical mechanisms and optimize the scribing processes instead of relying on trial-and-error experiments. To date, only rudimentary modeling efforts have been made, offering no predictive or optimization capabilities. Wang et al. [13] developed two-dimensional thermal model to estimate the temperature distribution of CdTe-based thin film solar cells by ns laser pulse irradiated from the glass side, and simulate the film removal during micro-explosion process. However, the model does not consider the dynamic response of brittle materials during this high-velocity shock wave impact, and it also offers no experimental validations.

Based on the current thin-film solar cell technology, a 1% increase in efficiency from improved scribe quality equates to roughly a 10% reduction cost. Therefore, understanding the film removal mechanisms and characterizing the potential defects during laser scribing processes are important for the cost reduction of thin film solar cells. In the previous work [13], P1 scribing of TCO laver has been studied. P2 and P3 are similar processes. since both are under micro-explosion mechanism with the plasma occurs at the interface between absorber and TCO layers. In this paper, P2 scribing of CdTe-based solar cells is carried out using a 532nm-wavelength 9ns-pulse-duration laser irradiated from glass side at different fluences and scribing speeds, and the corresponding defects are characterized. A two-dimensional numerical model, implemented with dynamic response of brittle material, is developed to simulate film removal via a micro-explosion process. The scribe geometry is studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical profilometry, and removal cleanliness is estimated by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Film microstructures and laserinduced defects are characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

BACKGROUND

Laser induced defects in solar cells

Laser processing has been incorporated into many successful modifications of the properties of semiconductor materials in solar cells applications. For example, Tull et al. [16] and Wang et al. [17-18] have reported laser surface texturing induced optical property change of crystalline silicon and amorphous silicon. Compaan et al. [4] has investigated laser scribing on different contact and absorber layers in order to change their electrical property. However, the quality of the laser treatment is often limited by the generation of defects which have adverse effects on solar cell performance through increased junction shunting or recombination centers. Due to the unique mechanical fracture caused by the micro-explosion process, defects as atomic structural change, micro cracks/voids and film delamination are induced resulting in poor photocurrent and inactive cells. Kontgers et al. [15] have investigated the risk of power loss, up to 10%, in photovoltaic modules due to micro cracks induced inactive cells, and pointed out that the micro cracks can be continually opened up subjected to the mechanical and thermal stress. Acciani et al. [19] have examined the abnormal heating in the restricted area of solar cells due to the presence of defects, such as voids, causes hot-spots, and the increased temperature will introduce dead cells in the solar panel.

Defects generation can also be introduced by laserinduced thermal effect. Golovan et al. [20] investigate the defect formation in CdTe under laser-induced melting process and showed a decrease of photoreflectance due to the formation of electrically and recombination active defects. In addition, when the laser photon energy is higher than the band gap of semiconductors, defect formation of recombination centers can be introduced even at low laser fluences (less than the melting threshold). Emel'yanov et al. [21] show that the fraction of the energy of the photoexcited electron-hole pairs is transferred in the process of electron-phonon relaxation and heat the lattice. The strong rise in temperature T (up to melting point) leads to the generation of defects by thermo-fluctuation, which are frozen in after the end of the pulse due to the very rapid cooling process. The density of the thermo-fluctuation defects reaches its stationary value

$$N_d = const \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{E_A}{K(T_0 + \Delta T)}\right]$$
(1)

where T_{θ} is the initial temperature, ΔT is the temperature rise due to laser heating, E_A is the defect formation energy, K is Boltzmann constant. This equation describes the generation of vacancies (Schottky defects), the annihilation and the formation of defect complexes and other defects [21]. The lattice deformation caused by the heating reduces the value of the defect activation energy in Eq. (1). The defect formation energy can also be reduced due to the localization of the electronic energy in some point of the crystal, which will decrease the potential barrier of the defect transition to a new equilibrium position. Thus, the localization of the electronic excitation on some initial defect can introduce new centers due to the process of defect multiplication.

Dynamic response of brittle materials

Due to the short duration of the laser pulse, film removal always occurs in nanosecond scales. This highvelocity pressure impact on CdTe will cause a high strain rate during laser scribing. Therefore, an assessment of the inelastic behavior including strain-rate phenomena, material degradation and pressure hardening needs to be considered. Micromechanical-based approach typically starts with the behavior of a single defect (crack or void) and the continuum level model is obtained by applying statistical averaging to an ensemble of defects [22]. Johnson and Holmquist (JH) [23-24] reported extensive work on brittle material response to high velocity impacts. The JH models use experimental data to determine constants that describe inelastic material behaviors in the simulation, and they are more convenient to be implemented in finite-element models than the theoretical statistical calculation.

There are two variations of the JH models. Compared to the first version (JH-1), the second version (JH-2) incorporates a damage evolution rule by consideration of progressive damage with increasing deformation, which is more accurate for simulating the high-velocity impact performance of ceramics [23]. In JH-2 model, the strength of the material is expressed in terms of the normalized von Mises equivalent stress as

$$\sigma^* = \sigma_i^* - D(\sigma_i^* - \sigma_f^*) \tag{2}$$

where σ_i^* is the normalized intact equivalent stress, σ_f^* is the normalized fractured equivalent stress, and *D* is the damage variable. The normalized equivalent stresses (σ^* , σ_i^* , σ_f^*) have the general form $\sigma^* = \sigma / \sigma_{HEL}$, where σ is the actual von Mises equivalent stress and σ_{HEL} is the equivalent stress at the Hugoniot elastic limit (HEL). The model assumes that the normalized intact and fractured stress can be expressed as function of the pressure and strain rate as

$$\sigma_{i}^{*} = A(p^{*} + T^{*})^{N} \left[1 + C \ln \dot{\varepsilon}^{*} \right] \leq \sigma_{i}^{\max}$$
(3)

$$\sigma_f^* = B(p^*)^M \left[1 + C \ln \dot{\varepsilon}^* \right] \le \sigma_f^{\max} \tag{4}$$

where *A*, *B*, *C*, *M*, *N* are material constants, σ_i^{\max} and σ_f^{\max} are the optional limits. The normalized pressure, p^* , and normalized maximum tensile hydrostatic pressure, T^* , are defined as $p^* = p / p_{HEL}$ and $T^* = T / P_{HEL}$, where *P*, P_{HEL} , *T* are the actual pressure, pressure at the HEL and maximum tensile pressure that the material can withstand.

The damage initiation parameter, ω , accumulates with plastic strain according to

$$\omega = \sum \frac{\Delta \varepsilon^p}{\varepsilon_f^p(P)} \tag{5}$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon^p$ is the increment in equivalent plastic strain and $\varepsilon_f^p(P)$ is the equivalent plastic strain to fracture under constant pressure, defined as $\varepsilon_f^p = D_1(P^* + T^*)^{D_2}$, where D_1 and D_2 are constants. JH-2 model assumes that the damage variable increases gradually with plastic deformation by setting $D=\omega$.

The equations for the pressure-density is described as

$$p(\zeta) = k_1 \zeta + k_2 \zeta^2 + k_3 \zeta^3 + \Delta p \text{ ; compression}$$
(6)
$$p(\zeta) = k_1 \zeta \text{ ; tension}$$
(7)

where $\zeta = \rho/\rho_0 - I$ and Δp is an increment in the pressure and k_1, k_2, k_3 are material constants. The increment in pressure arises from the conversion of energy loss due to damage into internal energy.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Polycrystalline TCO (SnO₂:F) films were deposited on a 3.2mm-thick soda lime substrate using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) at 600°C. Stack layers of polycrystalline CdS and CdTe were then sequentially thermally evaporated at 350°C substrate temperature. Film thicknesses of TCO, CdS and CdTe layers were found to be about 400nm, 200nm and 2 μ m through ellipsometry measurements.

Experiments were carried out using a Nd:YAG laser. The system delivered 9-ns pulses at a 100Hz repetition rate and a wavelength of 532nm. The samples were cleaned with acetone in an ultrasonic cleaner for 5 minutes and then rinsed with methanol and distilled water prior to processing. The sample was placed inside a metallic container sealed with quartz at both top and bottom to avoid hazardous plume during laser processing and collect the removed materials. This container was mounted on a three-axis translation stage and samples were irradiated by laser focused by a 20X objective lens. The focal plane was placed at the interface between TCO and CdS to create a circular beam spot with a diameter of around 50 μ m. The samples were irradiated from the glass side by single pulse with various fluences from 0.4 to 6 J/cm² at a stationary spot, and then scribed with the fluence values from 1 to 3 J/cm² at different speeds (2 to 3.6 mm/s).

The treated samples were observed through SEM. Surface roughness and scribe profiles were measured by optical profilometry. The chemical components and cleanliness of laser processed samples were investigated by EDX. The generation of defects, such as material microstructure, micro cracks/voids and thermal effect after irradiation were analyzed by TEM.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the schematic of P2 laser scribing on CdTe-based solar cells from the glass side under microexplosion mechanism. The removal mechanism can be divided into three steps: first, the laser has been absorbed by the entire and partial layers of CdS and CdTe, respectively. The absorption depths of CdS (film thickness of 200nm) and CdTe (film thickness of 2 um) at the wavelength of 532nm are ~1µm and 167nm, respectively. Second, as the pulse continues heating, the material in the absorption volume has been melted, vaporized and finally formed a plasma. Due to the confinement of substrate and solid CdTe, an intensive pressure induced by the plasma expansion will pull the solid CdTe material upwards and to delaminate from CdS layer. This is because the adhesion between CdS and CdTe layers is smaller than that between SnO₂:F and CdS layers. Third, the deformed solid CdTe laver has been removed due to brittle fracture and the ablated material is also ejected with the pressure release to the ambient. Some resolidified molten CdS and undamaged CdS materials remain after the removal process. Due to the weak adhesion between CdS and CdTe, there is no CdTe has been melted at the boundary. In contrast, CdS removal is dominant by ablation. Therefore, thermal effect only occurs at this layer.

Film removal under high fluences

Figure 2 (a) shows the SEM image of film removal by single pulse irradiated at a fluence of 3 J/cm². It can be seen that a near-circular area with a diameter of around $80\mu m$ is scribed. A macro-crack occurs along the circumference of the scribed area. Magnified SEM image Fig. 2 (b) shows clearly that the macro-crack occurs at the boundary is partially broken, which may be due to the non-uniform beam energy distributed area has been removed faster than the rest area, and then the trapped pressure between the film and substrate is released quickly. Therefore, the



Figure 1. Schematic of film removal of P2 laser scribing on CdTe-based solar cells under micro-explosion mechanism



Figure 2. (a) SEM image of film removal by a pulse irradiated at a fluence of 3J/cm²; (b) magnified SEM image at square D; (c) Optical profilometry measurement along A

significantly reduced pressure is not strong enough to facture the rest CdTe material, instead, the pressure makes CdTe delaminate from the CdS layer and form as a macrocrack after processing. It is also observed that some material remains, which may include the undamaged CdS material and solidification of a liquid flow driven to the boundary by pressure releasing. Since the molten CdS layer is much thinner than the thickness of removal films, the pressure distribution at the boundary is almost parallel to the film surface, thus, the molten CdS material can only be push to the boundary. This is why from the optical profilometry measurement along A shown in Fig. 2(c), the circle B area shows a gradual increase to the boundary and the highest point occurs at the interface of solid/liquid CdS. Circle A shows the appearance of the macro-crack of CdTe film, and the reason why no delamination can be seen is because the detecting optical beam is perpendicular to the samples, so that the gap at the CdS/CdTe interface cannot be measured. The depth of film removal is measured to be 2µm at the scribed center with a diameter of around 60µm, and vertical sidewalls are formed after processing. EDX line profile along A is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that no other elements except Si and Sn in region B, which indicates that CdS and CdTe are completed removed in this region and no inter-diffusion of S into the TCO layer. The co-existing of Si and Sn is due to the larger electron penetration depths of SnO₂:F compared to its thickness[13]. In region A (~10µm) – macro-crack broken area, elements of Cd and S are detected besides Sn and Si. This demonstrates that the only CdS remains, which matches the mechanism described in Fig. 1 that higher adhesion occurs at the interface between CdS and TCO layers.



Figure 3. EDX line profile scanning along A in Fig. 2 (a), showing a clean removal at the center, and small amount of remaining material is CdS and no inter-diffusion occurs at the interface of TCO/CdS



Figure 4. Cross-sectional TEM image of scribe boundary at square B shown in Fig.2 (a)



(a) scribe boundary

(b) scribe center

Figure 5. Cross-sectional TEM images of (a) near scribe boundary and (b) scribe center region C in Fig. 2 (a)

Figure 4 depicts the cross-sectional TEM image at the scribe boundary B shown in Fig. 2 (a). CdTe grains grow epitaxially on CdS grains with a dominant orientation of (111) and the columnar CdTe grains with microtwins results in a poor photocurrent and high series resistance [2]. Vere et al. [25] showed twinning is an intrinsic feature of the zincblende-structure materials with low stacking fault energy, such as II-V (CdTe) and III-V semiconductors. It originates on the liquid/solid interface during growth, where group of atoms deposited at a point or points on the interface are misorientated with respect to the reminder. It can be seen that CdTe is removed mechanically instead of thermal ablation. The pressure induced by plasma expansion causes the deformation of CdTe layer and delamination from the CdS layer. Brittle fracture sequentially occurs at high stress concentration points at the constraint boundary. Cracks initialize at the bottom of the CdTe layer and propagate to the top surface, since the deformed geometry of boundaries causes higher material strains at the bottom compared to the top surface. The crack propagates along the grain boundary (intergranular fracture) at the bottom, because impurities usually segregate at the CdS/CdTe interface in the beginning of deposition, which weaken and embrittle the grain boundaries. When crack propagates to the top surface, it passes through grains (transgranular fracture) by cutting across twins. This may be caused by the direction change of the applied stress due to the film deformation and grain geometries. The atomic bonding energy becomes smaller for the atoms near the top surface, and a lateral nano-crack occurs at this point simultaneously. Figure 5 (a) shows that the remaining CdS layer near the sidewall has the same microstructure and thickness compared to the undamaged CdS in Fig. 4. Likewise, the TEM image of Fig. 5 (b) taken at the scribe center (region C in Fig. 2 (a)) exhibits that only SnO₂:F layer remains and no SnO2:F material has been damaged after scribing. Therefore, it can be concluded that CdS material has been ablated in the laser effected area. In the meanwhile, CdTe delaminates from CdS laver and is removed due to the brittle fracture caused by the plasmainduced pressure. The thermal effect only occurs at the

layer of CdS and no damage of SnO₂:F or inter-diffusion of sulfur is observed after processing.

Film removal under low fluences

Figure 6 shows the SEM image of film removal irradiated by one pulse at a fluence of 1J/cm^2 . It can be seen that a circular scribed area with a diameter of around 60µm with much more remaining material compared to that scribed under 3J/cm². In addition, no macro-crack is observed in this condition. In order to understand the removal mechanism, cross-sectional TEM images at the scribe boundary B' are carried out as shown in Fig. 7 (a). It can be seen that a micro-crack and some nano-voids appear at the CdS/CdTe interface. The CdTe layer under a lower fluence needs more time to be completely fractured. Thus, when a crack initializes at the bottom and starts propagating to the top surface, there is enough time for the pressure to causes a lateral crack and several nano-voids along the interface of CdS and CdTe due to the weak adhesion before the entire layer has been removed. Similarly to the film removal at 3J/cm², the remaining CdS is not thermal affected at the removal boundary and vertical sidewalls are formed. Figure 7 (b) shows the magnified TEM image near crack tip. It can be observed that the high stress concentration at the crack tip cause change of atomic structures in both CdS and CdTe crystals. Moreover, nano-cracks are founded around the nano-voids (not shown). These phenomena suggest that those dislocation slips and deformation twinning, caused by the atomic structure rearrangements, may play an important role in the final stages to the material failure [26] and the further reduction of electric output. Cross-sectional TEM image taken at region C' in Fig. 6 is shown in Fig. 8 (a). It can be seen that both microstructures and thickness of CdS laver has been changed due to the thermal effect. The arrow shows the direction to the removal boundary. The molten CdS material solidifies and the grain grows vertically from SnO₂:F layers near the boundary, however, the grains close to the center shown in Fig. 8 (b) exhibit different microstructures and nano-bubbles near the interface. The grain size in this region is much smaller (a few nanometers) based on high-resolution TEM images (not shown). The thermal gradient in the molten pool drives the motion of liquid CdS provoking the deformation of the surface, and the pressure differences created at a curved interface support the evolution of the deformation on the liquid surface known as capillary waves [17]. However, due to the plasma expansion and micro-explosion process, the pressure propagated along horizontal direction pushes more liquid CdS to the boundary and forms the different amplitudes along the capillary wave. The liquid CdS close to the center under stronger pressure travels a longer distance, thus, there is no enough time for a similar recrystallization process to that happened near the boundary. Moreover, decomposition of CdS occurs due to the high temperature, and sulfur can be quickly oxidized



Figure 6. SEM image of film removal by a pulse irradiated at a fluence of 1J/cm², showing much more CdS remaining after processing



Figure 7. Cross-sectional TEM images of (a) scribe boundary at square B' shown in Fig.6 and (b) magnified image at the crack tip



Figure 8. (a) TEM images taken at region C' in Fig. 6 and (b) magnified TEM image at the interface between CdS and SnO₂:F layers.

and formed as gaseous phase. Sulfur oxide either evaporates to the environment or stay inside the CdS matrix to form as bubbles after solidification.

Overall, scribing under both high and low fluences can achieve a complete film removal and no damage of SnO₂:F or interdiffusion of sulfur occurs after processing. However, some CdS remains and partial of the material has been molted and recrystallized. This will affect the next-step contact layer deposition in the solar cell fabrication process. In addition, the ultra-fast heating process could introduce supercooling during recrystallization, which causes defect generation and reduces the crystal quality. Moreover, the bubbles formed by sulfur oxide will also introduce abnormal heating and eventually damage the cells [19]. Scribing under a high fluence can cause macro-cracks due to the pulse imperfection and micro-cracks near the top surface which may decrease the active area of absorber layers. However, scribing under a low fluence can lead to more remaining CdS material, micro-cracks and nano-voids at the CdS/CdTe interface, and dislocation slips and deformation twinning at the crack tips. This makes the scribe quality even worse compared to that irradiated at a higher fluence, since more defects are introduced.

Parametric study and line scribing process

In order to optimize the scribing conditions, a parametric study is carried out for the dependence of scribe area and remaining CdS on the irradiation fluences $(0.8 \text{J/cm}^2 \text{ to } 6 \text{J/cm}^2)$ as shown in Fig. 9. Since the pulse shape is irregular, the measurement of scribe areas is taken rather than in diameter. From the observation under SEM and optical profilometry, the threshold for complete removal is 0.8J/cm², and the ratio between remaining material and total removal area becomes much less and macro-crack occurs after 1.6J/cm², and SnO₂:F layer has been damaged at 6J/cm². Therefore, the scribing condition can be focused on the fluences from 0.8J/cm^2 to 6J/cm^2 , where low fluence range represents 0.8J/cm^2 to 1.6J/cm^2 and high fluence range represents 1.6J/cm² to 6J/cm². It can be seen that the scribe areas are close to 4100 μ m² in the low fluence range, and 4300 μ m² from 1.6J/cm² to 3.5J/cm². This difference is because in the low fluence range, partial of the CdTe film delaminated from CdS layer cannot be completely removed as shown in Fig. 7. In contrast, the boundary is clear under the high fluence range as shown in Fig. 4. The trend of scribe area shows a linear increase with increasing of fluences from 3.5J/cm² to 6J/cm². This is due to absorption volumes become larger under higher fluences, therefore, the sequential plasma volume increase and more material will be removed. In addition, the increased pressure could also cause CdS material fracture, which leads to the increase of scribe areas. The trend of the percentage of remaining CdS area is linear decrease with increasing of fluences in the low fluence range and keeps a constant in the high fluence range. The laser-induced pressure becomes larger and larger with increasing fluences during micro-explosion processes, thus, more liquid CdS is driven to the boundary and less CdTe delaminates from the CdS layer due to faster brittle-fracture responses. Until the pressure reaches a threshold, all liquid CdS moves to the boundary and cannot flow out of the removal cavity by the prevention of CdTe layer.

In order to optimize the line scribing condition, samples are processed at the fluence values from 1 to 3 J/cm^2 with different speeds from 1 to 4 mm/s, which

equivalent to the pulse overlapping from 80% to 20%. Among the complete removal conditions, the highest speed occurs at 2 mm/s under a fluence of 3 J/cm² as shown in Fig. 10 (a). It can be seen a clean line is formed in a width of 70 μ m. Fig. 10 (b) shows that macro-cracks are removed by the next overlapped pulse at the removal boundary. Although the scribing speed is not in the desirable range (m/s) due to the limitation of pulse repetition rate, the experimental results can still be referenced with different laser facilities. Therefore, it can be concluded that the condition of 3 J/cm² with a pulse overlapping of ~60% is the optimal processing condition for P2 laser scribing of CdTe-based solar cells.



Figure 9. Dependence of scribe area and remaining CdS on laser irradiation conditions



Figure 10. SEM images of (a) line scribing at a fluence of 3J/cm² and a speed of 2mm/s, (b) magnified image at scribe boundary, showing macro-cracks are removed due to the pulse overlapping

SIMULATION ON FILM REMOVAL

Two-dimensional thermal and mechanical models are setup based on the previous study [13], except adding a CdS layer in the thermal model to determine the plasma volume and considering dynamic response in CdTe fracture criteria discussed before. Figure 11 shows the temperature distribution of CdTe/CdS/SnO₂:F stack layers on glass substrate as a result of the glass-side laser irradiation at a fluence of 1Jcm². The thicknesses of different layers are 2µm, 200nm, 400nm and 50µm, respectively. The laser pulse is 9ns in duration, Gaussian-distributed, 50µm in spot size and 532nm in wavelength. The thermal analysis is carried out with the consideration of energy loss due to the reflection at the interfaces of glass/air, glass/SnO₂:F and SnO₂:F/CdS, heat convection at the boundary, as well as the absorption by the glass substrate and SnO₂:F layer. Material properties can be found in ref. [13]. It can be observed that the absorbing volume is confined between the CdTe and SnO₂:F layers, and the temperature is uniformly distributed in CdS layer due to the different absorption depths discussed before. This highly confined energy increases temperature up to 8000K at this condition, which is higher than the vaporization temperatures of both CdTe and CdS, therefore, plasma has been generated. The temporal and spatial distributions of plasma-expansion-induced pressure are then calculated based on ref. [13] and the constant fraction α is kept 0.04 to match the removal threshold compared to experimental results.

Film removal is presented in a mechanical model with the pressure incorporated on CdTe layer at the interface. A 10nm-thick layer of cohesive elements is implemented to consider the traction stresses at the interface. The cohesive layer is governed by the traction separation law described in [13], and serves the purpose of simulating the process in which the CdTe film lifts up and delaminates from the CdS layer caused by the plasma expansion. In order to simplify the problem, CdS is neglected from the mechanical model. Because CdS layer is dominantly removed by ablation based on experimental results, there is no effect of mechanical fracture on this layer during micro-explosion process. Since adhesion becomes zero at the plasma area, an open cavity at the center of the cohesive layer, representing plasma width, is implemented. The values of plasma width are extracted from the thermal model. The pressure is applied at the interface of CdTe and cohesive layer and the boundaries are fixed.

Figure 12 shows the complete film removal with a fluence of 1J/cm^2 at 71.6ns. It can be seen that a scribe area with a radius of 28.7µm and a further micro-crack of 4.2 µm at the interface. Therefore, film delamination occurs compared to the initial plasma width of 41.6µm. This matches the experimental result as shown in Fig. 7 (a). The simulation result shows that the strain rate of failed elements is up to 10^{5} s⁻¹. Therefore, the material dynamic response is necessary to be implemented. The film is removed mainly due to the tensile stress, and the ratedependent response has much less influence on the tensile yield stress than the compressive yield stress for brittle materials. However, the implemented criteria can still affect the compressive failure and lead to more accurate element deformations compared the static fracture laws. The film deformation causes tensile stress to the elements at the top center and bottom boundary. Therefore, the fracture occurs preferentially at these points. Since the pressure is not strong enough to break the entire layer immediately, a



Figure 11. Simulation result of temperature distribution of CdTe/CdS/SnO₂:F/glass multilayer thermal model at a fluence of 1J/cm²



Figure 12. Complete film removal with a fluence of 1J/cm^2 at 71.6ns, the scribe radius is 28.7µm and micro-crack propagates 4.2µm through the interface. Deformation scale is 1.5X for viewing clarity



Figure 13. Complete film removal with a fluence of $3J/cm^2$ at 30ns, the scribe radius is $34.8\mu m$ and micro-crack occurs near the top surface. Deformation scale is 0.3X for viewing clarity

further micro-crack along the interface occurs due to its weak adhesion. The film still remains large pieces when the removal is completed and the breaks occur at both center and boundary. Fig. 13 shows the film removal at a fluence of 3J/cm². It can be seen that a scribe area with a radius of 34.8um (plasma width is 58.8um) and this removal only takes 30ns, which is less than one half of that happened at 1J/cm². Similarly, initial fractures occur at the bottom boundary and top center. However, since the pressure is strong enough to cause further deformation, some elements between the boundary and center also achieve their fracture limit. Likewise, more and more elements between those "pre-fracture" points fail and finally, the film becomes many small pieces after removal. At the boundary, microcracks occur near the top surface rather than at the interface. During the crack propagates through the boundary from bottom to the top, the stress induced by the pressure and film deformation is strong enough to fracture their neighboring elements so that the micro-crack preferentially occurs at a relatively weak bonding area near the top surface, which has been presented in Fig. 4. Fig. 14 shows the optical microscopy image of collected removal material after laser scribing. It can be observed that the film is broken into many pieces in a range of a few micrometers to around 60µm in length. This shows a good agreement with the simulation results discussed above.



Figure 14. Optical microscopy image of collected removed materials, showing small and large pieces of removal material which are produced by high and low fluences.

Figure 15 shows the simulation results of dependence of plasma width, scribe diameter and remaining CdS on laser irradiation fluences. It can be seen that the plasma width increases to around 55µm at 2.25J/cm² and maintains almost a constant afterwards. Compared to the spot size (50µm), only 2.5µm-wide CdS material in lateral direction has reached the vaporization temperature beyond the laser irradiation area. This presents the laser-induced heat can only be transferred laterally close to CdTe film thickness $(2\mu m)$, because it is dissipated quickly to the ambient at the top of CdTe layer. The scribe diameter shows a similar trend to that of plasma width. The simulation result shows the high fluence starts from 2.25J/cm², where the microcrack at the interface (as shown in Fig. 12) disappears and film is fractured in many small pieces. In the high fluence range, the scribe width keeps constant, because the pressure is strong enough to fracture the entire film immediately and there is no time to lead to further deformation and delamination. In contrast, in the low fluence range, more CdTe material delaminates from the layer underneath since it takes more time for the film to deform before entire layer is fractured. The percentage of CdS material shows a similar trend to the experimental result shown in Fig. 9, more CdS remains in the low fluence range and finally it keeps a constant in the high fluence range. The discrepancy of an underestimation in the simulation could be caused by ignoring the melting of CdS. However, the overall fluence dependence on film removal by micro-explosion is accurately captured by the simulation.



Figure 15. Simulation results of dependence of plasma width, scribe diameter, and remaining CdS on laser irradiation fluences.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it has been demonstrated that successfully selective film removal with well-defined sidewalls and little thermal effect is achieved by glass-side laser scribing of CdTe-based multilayer solar cells. The micro-explosion mechanism only leads melting and recrystallization on CdS layer, which could cause defect generation under the supercooling process. CdS material is removed dominantly through ablation because its absorption depth is much larger than the thickness. In contrast, the rest of laser energy is fully absorbed by a shallow layer in the CdTe film. Due to the confinement of solid CdTe and the substrate, a shock wave is generated caused by the plasma expansion and CdTe material is removed through brittle fracture by the induced pressure. CdTe film delimitation from the CdS layer is also observed due to the weaker adhesion between these two layers compared to other interfaces, which will affect the next-step contact layer deposition in the solar cell fabrication process. Furthermore, other defects are characterized under both low and high fluence ranges. These defects, including nanobubbles caused by sulfur oxidation, micro-cracks, dislocation slip and deformation twinning formed at crack tips due to the atomic structure rearrangement, could further induce abnormal heating and poor photocurrent. The optimal condition (with minimum defects and remaining CdS material) of glass-side laser scribing is determined to be at a fluence of 3J/cm² with a speed of 2mm/s based on parametric studies. The low scribing speed is limited by the pulse repetition rate, however, the experimental results are still valuable for the investigation on different required laser facilities. Finally, numerical finite element models are developed for P2 scribing based on micro-explosion mechanism. Good agreements with experimental results show that the simulation is capable of predicting the material removal dynamics and fracture behavior of CdTe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research carried out in part at the Center for Functional Nanomaterials, Brookhaven National Laboratory, which is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. The use of material characterization equipment at Materials Research Science and Engineering Center, Columbia University is also gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are due to Drs. Marco Leona and Pablo Londero, Department of Scientific Research, the Metropolitan Museum of Art, for processing equipment use.

REFERENCES

- Dhere, R.G., et al., 2011. CdTe solar cell with industrial Al:ZnO on soda-lime glass. Thin Solid Films, 519/21: 7142-7145.
- [2] Luque, A., Hegedus, S., 2003. Handbook of Photovoltaic Science and Engineering. Wiley, UK.
- [3] Booth, H., 2010. Laser processing in industrial solar module manufacturing. Journal of Laser Micro/ Nanoengineering, 5: 183-191.
- [4] Compaan, A., Matulionis, S., 2000. Laser scribing of polycrystalline thin films. Opt. Lasers Eng., 34: 15-45.
- [5] Murison, R., et al., 2010. CIGS P1, P2 and P3 laser scribing with an innovative fiber laser. 35th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conf., 179-184.
- [6] Bovatsek, J., et al, 2010. Thin film removal mechanisms in ns-laser processing of photovoltaic materials. Thin Solid Films, 518: 2897-2904.
- [7] Dunsky, C., Colville, F., 2008. Scribing thin-film solar panels. Industrial Laser Solutions for Manufacturing.
- [8] Gecys, P, Raciukaitis, G., 2010. Scribing of a-Si thin film solar cells with picoseconds laser. Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys., 51: 33209.
- [9] Wang, W., et al., 2010. Comparison of femtosecond laser-induced front- and rear-side ablation of films. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., Part B: J. Eng. Manuf., 225: 520-527.

- [10] Beyer, S., Tonrnari, V., Gornicki, D., 2003. Comparison of laser induced front- and rear side ablation. Proc. SPIE, 5063: 202-207.
- [11] Sano, T., Yamada, H., Nakayama, T., Miyamoto, I., 2002. Laser induced rear ablation of metal thin films. Proc. SPIE, 4426: 70-73.
- [12] Matylitsky, V.V., Huber, H., Kopf, D., 2011. Selective removal of transparent conductive oxide layers with ultrashort laser pulses: front- vs. back-side ablation. Int. Congr. App. Lasers Electro-Opt., M903: 1022-1027.
- [13] Wang, H., Hsu, S., Tan, H., Yao, Y.L., Chen, H., Azer, M., 2012. Predictive modeling for glass-side laser scribing of thin film photovoltaic cells. 40th North American Manuf. Research Conf., Notre Dame, In.
- [14] Shinohara, W., et al., 2006. Applications of laser patterning to fabricate innovative thin-film silicon solar cells. Proceedings of SPIE, 6107.
- [15] Kontgers, M., et al., 2010. Quantifying the risk of power loss in PV modules due to micro cracks. 25th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, Spain.
- [16] Tull, B.R., et al., 2006. Silicon surface morphologies after femtosecond laser irradiation. MRS Bulletin, 31/8:626-633.
- [17] Wang, H., Lusquiños, F., Yao, Y.L., 2012. Effect of hydrogen on surface texturing and crystallization of a-Si:H thin film irradiated by excimer laser, Applied Physics A, 107/2: 307-320.
- [18] Wang, H., Kongsuwan, P., Satoh, G., Yao, Y.L., 2012. Femtosecond laser-induced simultaneous surface texturing and crystallization of a-Si:H thin film: absorption and crystallinity. ASME Trans., Journal of Manu. Science and Engineering, 134/3: 031006.
- [19] Acciania, G., Falcone, O., Vergura, S., 2010. Defects in poly-silicon and amorphous silicon solar cells. Intl. Conf. on Renewable Energy and Power Quality, Spain.
- [20] Golovan, L.A., Kashkarov, P.K., Timoshenko, V.Y., 1996. Laser-induced melting and defect formation in cadmium telluride. Laser Physics, 6/5: 925-927.
- [21] Emel'yanov, V.I., Kashkarov, P.K., 1992. Laserinduced defect formation in semiconductors. Applied Physics A, 55: 161-166.
- [22] Addession, F.L., Johnson, J.N., 1990. A constitutive model for the dynamic response of brittle materials. Journal of Applied Physics, 67/7: 3275-3286.
- [23] Holmquist, T.J., Johnson, G.R., 1994. An improved computational constitutive model for brittle materials. AIP Conf. Proc. 309: 981-984.
- [24] Holmquist, T.J., Johnson, G.R., 2005. Characterization and evaluation of silicon carbide for high-velocity impact. Journal of Applied Physics, 97: 093502.
- [25] Vere, A.W., Cole, S., Williams, D.J., 1983. The origins of twinning in CdTe. J. of Elec. Mat, 12/3: 551-561.
- [26] Hai, S., Tadmor, E.B., 2003. Deformation twinning at aluminum crack tips. Acta Materialia, 51: 117-131.